



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

BAYLOR HEALTHCARE
2001 BRYAN ST SUITE 2600
DALLAS TX 75021

Respondent Name

TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 54

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-06-7638-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "should have paid at stop loss rule claim over 40,000.00 please reconsider."

Amount in Dispute: \$211,012.75

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is our position that reimbursement should be made at fair and reasonable rate as this was a trauma admission...Fair and reasonable is outlined on the original explanation of benefits 2/22/06 along with an attached Itemized Audit worksheet. Total reimbursement of \$260,015.52 has been made."

Response Submitted by: Texas A&M University System, STARR Comprehensive Solutions Inc., P.O. Box 801564, Houston, TX 77280-1464

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 23, 2005 through November 21, 2005	Inpatient Services	\$211,012.75	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), effective August 1, 1997, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, requires that when "Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)" diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate.

- 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 *Texas Register* 4047, requires that “Reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers’ Compensation Act, §413.011 until such period that specific fee guidelines are established by the commission.”
- Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on August 14, 2006. Pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on August 23, 2006 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.
- The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
Explanation of Benefits dated February 22, 2006
- W10-Fair and reasonable reimbursement.
- 97-Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure.
- 150-Payment adjusted because the payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
- See attached itemized audit.

Explanation of Benefits dated April 18, 2008

- W10-Fair and reasonable reimbursement.
- 97-Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure.
- W4-No additional reimbursement is allowed after reconsideration.
- Reimbursement for this admission as made at fair and reasonable, per DWC rule 134.401(c)(5). When the primary diagnosis codes are trauma (800.0-959.50), the entire admission shall be at fair and reasonable. In this case, the primary IDC-9 code was 865.04.
- DWC Rule 134.401(c)(6), specifically addresses that “The diagnosis codes specified in paragraph (5) of this subsection are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rate.”
- No new information/invoices were submitted regarding the implantables.
- TDI/DWC rules were followed in this instance.

Findings

1. This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of former 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(5)(A), which requires that when “Trauma (ICD-9 codes 800.0-959.50)” diagnosis codes are listed as the primary diagnosis, reimbursement for the entire admission shall be at a fair and reasonable rate. Review of box 67 on the hospital bill finds that the principle diagnosis code is listed as 865.04. The Division therefore determines that this inpatient admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 and Texas Labor Code §413.011(d).
2. The requestor asks for reimbursement under the stop loss provision of the Division’s *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* found in Division rule at 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6). The requestor asserts in the position statement that “should have paid at stop loss rule claim over 40,000.00 please reconsider.” Division rule at 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6), effective August 1, 1997, 22 *TexReg* 6264, states, in part, that “The diagnosis codes specified in paragraph (5) of this subsection are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate.” As stated above, the Division has found that the primary diagnosis is a code specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §134.401(c)(5); therefore, the disputed services are exempt from the stop-loss methodology and the entire admission shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a copy of any pertinent medical records.” Although the requestor did submit a copy of the operative report, the requestor did not submit a copy of the anesthesia record, post-operative care record, or other pertinent medical records sufficient to support the services in dispute. The Division concludes that the requestor has not met the requirements of §133.307(g)(3)(B).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 *Texas Register* 12282,

applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:

- The requestor’s position statement asserts that “should have paid at stop loss rule claim over 40,000.00 please reconsider.”
- The requestor seeks reimbursement for this admission based upon the stop-loss reimbursement methodology which is not applicable per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6).
- The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
- The Division has previously found that a reimbursement methodology based upon payment of a hospital’s billed charges, or a percentage of billed charges, does not produce an acceptable payment amount. This methodology was considered and rejected by the Division in the *Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline* adoption preamble which states at 22 Texas Register 6276 (July 4, 1997) that:
“A discount from billed charges was another method of reimbursement which was considered. Again, this method was found unacceptable because it leaves the ultimate reimbursement in the control of the hospital, thus defeating the statutory objective of effective cost control and the statutory standard not to pay more than for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living. It also provides no incentive to contain medical costs, would be administratively burdensome for the Commission and system participants, and would require additional Commission resources.”
- The requestor did not support that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307. The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the services in dispute.

Authorized Signature

_____ Signature	_____ Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	1/12/2012 _____ Date
--------------------	---	----------------------------

_____ Signature	_____ Health Care Management Executive Deputy Comm.	1/12/2012 _____ Date
--------------------	--	----------------------------

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.